

ICE:FMLE/CAP Learner Assessment of Clinical Teacher (LACT)

About your assessment of teachers

- It should be based on the described encounter(s) only.
- The LACT form is to provide feedback and commentary on teaching performance.
- Feedback to teachers is an important professional obligation of learners.
- Your assessments are confidential with only anonymized aggregated summaries reported.

How we will use the information

- Aggregated data is used to evaluate the teacher/faculty, rotation, and sites on a regular basis.
- Low scores are centrally monitored for needed confidential follow-up.
- Results of LACT scores are used to monitor, support and improve teaching practices for individual teachers, sites and clinical departments

Rating scale:

- 1-5 (low to high)
- Not Applicable (n/a) is permissible for all ratings except "OVERALL"
- Overall rating of 3 is the "Acceptable level of performance" for this assessment form

Serious Incidents (i.e. Discussing, Disclosing or Reporting Mistreatment)

- This LACT form is **not** designed as a rapid response mechanism for serious incidents.
- If you have experienced or witnessed learner mistreatment or a serious incident of unprofessionalism in the MD Program/PGME learning environment or the MD Program/PGME community, please use the following link to learn more about our supports and resources (including an anonymous or confidential online tool designed to allow medical learners at the Temerty Faculty of Medicine at University of Toronto to disclose or report mistreatment). Learner Mistreatment

Educational Experience:	a) FME
Teaching Format	Ambulatory/clinic Inpatient/ward Office Operating room Virtual care (i.e. phone, video) Other (please specify): Observership
Teaching contact Please estimate the amount of contact you had with the teacher using the description below:	 Brief (e.g. single clinic, single lab/microscope session, a few hours on-call, short OR shift) Moderate (e.g. 2-4 clinics, 1 – 2 weeks in lab/microscope sessions, 1-2 on-call shift, 1-2 OR shifts, 1 – 2 weeks rotation) Extensive (e.g. 5+ clinics, 3+ weeks in lab/microscope sessions, 3+ OR or on-call shifts, 3+ weeks rotation)

Assessment of Teaching

	Poor 1	Unsatisfactory 2	Acceptable 3	Good 4	Superior 5	N/A
The teacher/faculty provides effective clinical teaching that stimulates learners to build knowledge and skills safely while offering graded responsibility for patient care.	Ineffective, unavailable, or impediment to learning	0	Good learning support matched to ability levels	0	Superior educational experience responsive to learner's level	0

	Poor	Unsatisfa	actory A	cceptable	Good	Superio	or I
	1	2		3	4	5	
insuffi negati comm suppo	nsufficient or legative lommunication lupport or		res ava	Respectful, responsive, available, and constructive		Excellent communication, collaboration, and detailed coaching	
	Poor	Unsatisfa	actory A	cceptable	Good	Superio	r I
	1	2		3	4	5	
causir or neg educa	ng ineffective gative itional	0			0	Exemplary role in all areas demonstrating highest standa	the
	Poor	Unsatisfa	actory A	cceptable	Good	Superio	r I
1	1	2		3	4	5	
set ap expec addre	propriate tations and ss learning		and lear res app exp pos	I include rners pectfully with propriate ectations in a itive learning	0	Enthusiastic, respectful, and proactive in enpositive climate effective learning learner needs regarding case	suring e and ng to
			10)			
		-	ak Teache			ood Teacher	Superio Teache
	1		2	3		4	5
te/ sonal	Significant limitations to suitability of the teacher	this	s teacher's	acher's teacher		ven effective, proaytive teacher supporting positive learning	
t	Poor I causin or negeduca experi	Ineffective, insufficient or negative communication support or feedback Poor 1 Poor 1 Poor 1 Reluctant to leach, set appropriate expectations and address learning climate issues Unsatisfac Teache 1 Significant limitations to suitability of the support of the support of the suitability of the support of the suitability of the support of the suitability of the suitability of the support of the suitability of the suitabilit	Ineffective, insufficient or negative communication support or feedback Poor Unsatisfa 1 2 Poor role model causing ineffective or negative educational experience Poor Unsatisfa 1 2 Reluctant to leach, set appropriate expectations and address learning climate issues Unsatisfactory Teacher 1 Significant limitations to suitability of this personal suitability of this personal support or the suitability of this personal support or negative educational experience	Ineffective, insufficient or negative communication support or feedback Poor Unsatisfactory A Poor role model causing ineffective or negative educational experience Poor Unsatisfactory A Poor Unsatisfactory A Poor Unsatisfactory A Reluctant to leach, set appropriate expectations and address learning climate issues Unsatisfactory Teacher Significant limitations to suitability of this unitability of this performance	Ineffective, insufficient or negative communication support or feedback Poor Unsatisfactory Acceptable 1 2 3 Poor role model causing ineffective or negative educational experience Poor Unsatisfactory Acceptable model in all areas Poor Unsatisfactory Acceptable and constructive Poor Unsatisfactory Acceptable and include learners respectfully with appropriate expectations and address learning climate issues Unsatisfactory Teacher 1 2 3 Reluctant to leach, set appropriate expectations in a positive learning climate Unsatisfactory Teacher 1 2 3 Limitations in Effective teacher seacher seacher seacher enabling effective enabling effective	Poor Unsatisfactory Acceptable Good	Poor Unsatisfactory Respectful, responsive, available, and constructive Poor feedback Poor feedback

Actions or Areas FOR IMPROVEMENT
OTHER Comments
SAM
Would you like to nominate your Preceptor for a FMLE teaching award? (You may select one award) I do not wish to nominate my Preceptor FMLE Excellence in Teaching FMLE Role Modeling Clinical Excellence
Save Submit
ARE COMPLETED ON MEDSIS